

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,714	-	10/17/2003	Kenneth A. Stewart	CS23196RL	2203
20280	7590	12/17/2004	EXAMINER		INER
MOTORO				WANG, TED M	
600 NORT ROOM AS		HWAY 45		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		60048-5343	2634		

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 4 11 11				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/688,714	STEWART ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ted M Wang	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Oc	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 October 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	:					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.	:					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	; ;					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · 					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	:					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ition No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	/ed.				
AMaahaa aasta)	· ·					
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	rv (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>17 October 2003</u> .	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
. 400 110(0):11011 0 410 <u>17 001000 200</u> 0						

Application/Control Number: 10/688,714

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- The phrases in the Abstract should avoid using "disclosed".
- On Abstract line 4, insert -- . -- after "disclosed".
- On page 14 line 17, "412" should be changed to -- 414 --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 3, 6, 11, and 18 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - □ With claim 3 line 1, insert -- . -- after "3".
 - □ With claims 6 and 11 line 2, change "the" to -- a -- after "multiplexing".
 - □ With claim 18 line 2, change "the" to -- a -- after "multiplexes".

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,714 Page 3

Art Unit: 2634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because -Claims 1 and 7 recites the limitation "augmenting the set of symbols of specific
 quadrature phase with the selected predetermined number of bits " in lines 17-18 that is
 not clear with respect to the Fig.4 step 416 as described in page 14 lines 24-29.
- 6. Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because Claim 12 recites the limitation " the observation matrix " in page 21 lines 1-2 that has not been introduced previously. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the limitation "non-zero real and imaginary components of the midamble symbols" in lines 3-4 that has not been introduced previously. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Claims 5, 10, and 17 recite the limitation " the sum-squared error " in line 2 that has not been introduced previously. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,714

Art Unit: 2634

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1-18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, and objections set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

10. References US 6,604,220, US 6,314,147, and US 6,144,710 are cited because they are put pertinent to an iterative method for reducing interference. However, none of references teach detailed connection as recited in claim.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Ted M Wang Examiner Art Unit 2634

Ted M. Wang

She way tim

SHUMANG LIU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4